MADRAS LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL DEBATES

TWELFTH SESSION OF THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

1st and 3rd to 8th March 1958.

Volume XXIV (Nos. 1 to 7).

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

PAGES

Saturday, 1st *March* 1958.

Personnel of the Government of Madras i

Principal Officers of the Madras Legislative Council ... ii

List of Members of the Madras Legislative Council with their constituencies ... ... iii–v

I Condolence Resolution on the death of Sri Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, Union Minister for Education 1–2

II Questions and Answers 3–7, 12–13

III Government Business—Presentation of the Budget for the year 1958–59 ... ... 8–11, 14–79

IV Papers laid on the table of the House 11

Monday, 3rd *March* 1958.

I Questions and Answers 81–87, 124–125

II Calling attention under rule 41 (1) of the Madras Council Rules to a matter of urgent public importance, namely, the accident of a child falling down a manhole in Mount Road, Madras 87–88

III Government Business—Discussion on the Budget for the year 1958–59 88–123

IV Papers laid on the table of the House 123

Tuesday, 4th *March* 1958.

I Questions and Answers 127–133

II Announcement by the Hon. Chairman *re* decision of the Business Advisory Committee 133–134

III Government Business—Discussion on the Budget for the year 1958–59—*cont.* 134–174

627140

	PAGES
Wednesday, 5th March 1958.	
I Questions and Answers	175–180
II Government Motions—	
(1) Committee of Privileges	180–181
(2) House Committee	181
III Government Business—Discussion on the Budget for the year 1958-59—*cont.*	181–217
IV Papers laid on the table of the House	218
Thursday, 6th March 1958.	
I Questions and Answers	219–223
II Announcement by the Hon. Chairman *re* receipt of amendments to Government Bills	224
III Government Business—Discussion on the Budget for the year 1958-59—*cont.*	224–280
IV Papers laid on the table of the House	280
Friday, 7th March 1958.	
I Questions and Answers	281–285
II Government Business—Discussion on the Budget for the year 1958-59—*cont* (last day)	286–333
Saturday, 8th March 1958.	
I Questions and Answers	335–353
II Announcement by the Hon. Chairman *re* message from the Governor	353
III Government Motion—Motion under rule 23 (1) of the Madras Council Rules *re* transaction of Government business on a non-official day	353–354
IV Government Bills—	
(1) The Madras Animal Preservation Bill, 1958 (Introduced)	354
(2) The Madras Bhoodan Yagna Bill, 1957 (L.A. Bill No. 15 of 1957)	354-374 383–394
(3) The Madras Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Bill, 1958 (L.C. Bill No. 1 of 1958)	374–382
V Papers laid on the table of the House	382
Index	395–405

DR. V. K. JOHN : May I take it that the object of the Government is to create virtue in people by preventing raffles?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : I do not know, Sir, how far the Deputy Leader of the Opposition believes in these things. But, anyhow, the Government try to avoid vices to the extent possible.

SRI MOHAMED RAZA KHAN : Is there anything in law to prevent the sale in our State of raffle tickets of other States?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : This is being looked into.

Language Pandits

* 41 *Q.*—SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state whether the higher grade and secondary grade teacher training courses are considered sufficient for Pandits handling languages such as Tamil, Sanskrit and Hindi in the higher forms of high schools?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : The higher grade training qualification is inadequate. The secondary grade teacher training course is considered sufficient.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : In view of the fact that the methods of teaching languages are almost the same in the case of higher grade and secondary grade training courses, will the Government kindly consider the case of those Tamil Pandits who have this higher grade training as persons eligible for handling higher forms and for drawing that higher salary?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : No, Sir. There is no proposal to consider this question.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Will the Government kindly examine the question in view of the fact that the methods of teaching languages are practically the same in the two training courses and see whether this is feasible?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : This has already been examined.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : Is it a fact that a Sanskrit Siromani who has passed the S.S.L.C. Examination and who has undergone Hindi Pracharak Training is considered ineligible to handle Sanskrit classes in higher forms and is considered as not having training for handling Sanskrit?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : I want notice, Sir.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : In view of the fact that the Hindi Prachar Sabha is recognized by the Government and the Pracharak Training in no way falls short of the training given to Pandits or the training given to other secondary grade men, will

the Government consider that one who is qualified in one of the training courses, say, Hindi, is also qualified to teach Sanskrit or Tamil provided he is a Vidwan or a Siromani?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : The methods of teaching Hindi may be quite different from the methods of teaching Tamil or Sanskrit. I do not see how qualification in Hindi will qualify one to teach Tamil or any other language.

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : May I submit to the Hon. Minister and the Government that the methods of teaching languages are fundamental and that they cannot differ with respect to any language whatsoever?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : There is no question of an expression of opinion on this.

Report of Dr. Lokanathan on ' Sales Tax '

* 42 *Q.*—SRI V. V. RAMASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Minister for Industries be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Government have received the Report of Dr. Lokanathan on ' Sales Tax '; and

(*b*) if so, whether a copy of it will be placed on the table of the House?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : (*a*) Yes, Sir.

(*b*) Copies of the Report have already been placed on the Table of the House.

SRI V. V. RAMASWAMI : டாக்டர் லோகநாதன் அறிக்கையில் கண்டுள்ள உரிச் சலுகைகள் வியாபாரிகளுக்கு உடனடியாகக் கிடைப்பதற்கு அரசாங்கம் என்ன நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப்போகிறார்கள் என்பதை அறிய விரும்புகிறேன் ?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : இதற்கு உரிய ஒரு மசோதாவை சர்க்கார் தயாரித்துக்கொண்டிருக்கிறது. அதைச் சட்ட சபையில் கொண்டுவருவதற்கு முயற்சி நடந்துகொண்டுவருகிறது.

DR. V. K. JOHN : Will there be any delay in introducing a Bill on the basis of that Report? Will that Report be the subject-matter of discussion in this House?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Any decision of the Government on the Report will be embodied in a Bill and the Bill will be brought before both the Houses. There will be adequate discussion then in both the Houses.

SRI V. V. RAMASWAMI : அரசியலார் கொண்டுவர இருக்கும் சட்ட மானது இந்த ஸெஷனில் கொண்டுவரப்பட்டு நிறைவேற்றப்படுமா என்பதை அறிய விரும்புகிறேன் ?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : That is my endeavour.

DR. V. K. JOHN : Can we expect that Bill to come up in this session?

8th March 1958]

panchayats. That is the position so far as the Government are concerned. If the hon. Member would specifically point out any cases of teachers not having received the increased allowance, then the Government might look into the matter

SRI G. KRISHNAMOORTHY : All the teachers in the panchayats of the Nannilam taluk have not received this allowance.

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : The hon. Member may put a separate question.

Official Language Commission.

* 60 *Q.*—SRI V. V. RAMASWAMI : Will the Hon. the Chief Minister be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any memorandum has been submitted by the Government to the Government of India on the Report of the Official Language Commission; and

(*b*) if so, the recommendations made therein?

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN (on behalf of the Hon. the Chief Minister) : (*a*) Yes, Sir.

(*b*) The memorandum containing the views of this Government on the Report of the Official Language Commission has already been placed on the table of the House.

SRI V. V. RAMASWAMI : இது விஷயமாக மத்திய அரசாங் கத்தினிடமிருந்து மேலிட்டு ஏதாவது பதில் வந்திருக்கிறதா என்பதை அறிய விரும்புகிறேன்.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : இல்லை.

Incidents on the banks of the River Cauvery at Tiruchirappalli on 26th November 1957.

* 61 *Q.*—SRI A. SUBRAMANYAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Home be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to the reports in the Press about certain incidents on the banks of the River Cauvery at Tiruchirappalli on 26th November 1957 in which the sacred threads and tufts of Brahmins were cut; and

(*b*) if so, whether any official report has been received by the Government and the action taken thereon?

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM : (*a*) Yes, Sir.

(*b*) Official reports on the incident were received by the Government. The Police registered a case in this connection and after investigation, five persons were arrested. All the five accused were recently convicted by the Additional First-class Magistrate, Tiruchirappalli, and sentenced to R.I. for terms ranging from 6 weeks to 3 months.